**To:** Fonseca, Silvina[Fonseca.Silvina@epa.gov]; Legare, Amy[Legare.Amy@epa.gov]; Anderson,

RobinM[Anderson.RobinM@epa.gov]; Gustavson, Karl[Gustavson.Karl@epa.gov]; Charters,

David[Charters.DavidW@epa.gov]

From: Ells, Steve

**Sent:** Tue 11/10/2015 4:02:25 PM

**Subject:** Re: Stakeholder Comments to Board.docx Stakeholder Comments to Board (Ells, Steve).docx

From the LWG:

1. <u>Improve the accuracy and transparency of the assumptions behind the remedial alternatives.</u> Explain how additional risk reduction justifies higher cost actions.

EPA should not identify materials that can be reliably contained as "principal threat wastes." Blanket identification of large areas of relatively low concentration (e.g., 200 micrograms per kilogram [µg/kg] PCBs) sediments as PTW is neither required by the NCP nor necessary to protect public health or the environment.

From: Fonseca, Silvina

Sent: Monday, November 9, 2015 4:39 PM

To: Ells, Steve; Legare, Amy; Anderson, RobinM; Gustavson, Karl; Charters, David

Subject: Stakeholder Comments to Board.docx

Please review the draft document and provide feedback. This is response to Jim's request earlier today. Trying to keep it short. We will also provide him with KK's 23 page chart to give him more details.